

# Stiefel-Whitney Numbers for Singular Varieties

Carl McTague

**ABSTRACT.** This paper determines which Stiefel-Whitney numbers can be defined for singular varieties compatibly with small resolutions. First an upper bound is found by identifying the  $\mathbf{F}_2$ -vector space of Stiefel-Whitney numbers invariant under classical flops, equivalently by computing the quotient of the unoriented bordism ring by the total spaces of  $\mathbf{RP}^3$  bundles. These Stiefel-Whitney numbers are then defined for any real projective normal Gorenstein variety and shown to be compatible with small resolutions whenever they exist. In light of Totaro's result [Tot00] equating the complex elliptic genus with complex bordism modulo flops, equivalently complex bordism modulo the total spaces of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{CP}}^3$  bundles, these findings can be seen as hinting at a new elliptic genus, one for unoriented manifolds.

## Introduction

For a complex algebraic variety  $Y$ , intersection cohomology provides groups  $\mathrm{IH}^*(Y)$  equipped with an intersection pairing  $\mathrm{IH}^*(Y) \otimes \mathrm{IH}^*(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  with the property that if  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a small resolution then  $\mathrm{H}^*(X) \cong \mathrm{IH}^*(Y)$  as additive groups. This beautiful fact points to a general philosophy: *Whenever a singular variety  $Y$  has a small resolution  $X \rightarrow Y$ , the invariants of  $Y$  should agree with the invariants of  $X$ .* According to this philosophy, an invariant can be extended to a singular variety only if the invariant agrees on all small resolutions of that variety. Since it is possible to construct a complex algebraic variety  $X$  having two small resolutions  $X_1 \rightarrow Y \leftarrow X_2$  with  $\mathrm{H}^*(X_1) \cong \mathrm{H}^*(X_2)$  as additive groups *but not as rings*, the philosophy for instance says that there is no natural way to extend the cup product to  $\mathrm{IH}^*(Y)$ .

For a real algebraic variety  $Y$ , the situation is as usual more problematic. First of all, real varieties need not be Witt spaces, so classical intersection cohomology provides an intersection pairing between upper and lower middle perversity groups  $\mathrm{IH}_m^*(Y, \mathbf{Z}/2) \otimes \mathrm{IH}_n^*(Y, \mathbf{Z}/2) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2$ , which generally are not isomorphic. Moreover if  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a small resolution then there is not necessarily any relationship between  $\mathrm{IH}_m^*(Y, \mathbf{Z}/2)$ ,  $\mathrm{IH}_n^*(Y, \mathbf{Z}/2)$  and  $\mathrm{H}^*(X, \mathbf{Z}/2)$ . However, it has recently been shown that if  $X_1 \rightarrow Y \leftarrow X_2$  are two small resolutions then  $\mathrm{H}^*(X_1, \mathbf{Z}/2) \cong \mathrm{H}^*(X_2, \mathbf{Z}/2)$  as additive groups (compare [Tot02], [MP03], [vH03]). This tantalizing result suggests that there may be a mod 2 generalization of intersection cohomology for real algebraic varieties which is compatible with small resolutions whenever they exist.

This paper applies the above philosophy not to cohomology theories but rather to characteristic numbers, specifically Stiefel-Whitney numbers. That is, it investigates which Stiefel-Whitney numbers can be defined for singular real varieties compatibly with small resolutions. It begins by analyzing the special case of pairs of small resolutions related by classical flops. The main result of this paper (stated without proof in [Tot02]) is that the  $\mathbf{F}_2$ -vector space of Stiefel-Whitney numbers invariant under classical flops is spanned by the numbers  $w_1^k w_{n-k}$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ . These numbers are used to show that the quotient ring of  $\mathrm{MO}_*$  by the ideal  $I$  generated by differences  $X_1 - X_2$  of real classical flops is isomorphic to:

$$\mathbf{F}_2[\mathbf{RP}^2, \mathbf{RP}^4, \mathbf{RP}^8, \dots] / ((\mathbf{RP}^{2^a})^2 = (\mathbf{RP}^2)^{2^a} \text{ for all } a \geq 2)$$

Finally, the numbers  $w_1^k w_{n-k}$  are defined for any real projective normal Gorenstein variety and shown to be compatible with small resolutions whenever they exist.

These Stiefel-Whitney numbers have arisen before, in Goresky-Pardon's calculation of the bordism ring of locally orientable  $\mathbf{F}_2$ -Witt spaces [GP89]. There they appear in the guise  $v_1^{n-2i} v_i^2$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  and are defined by using local orientability to lift  $v_1$  to cohomology and by using the  $\mathbf{F}_2$ -Witt condition to lift the Wu class  $v_i$  to intersection cohomology where it can be squared to obtain a homology class (see [Gor84]).

This paper constructs the numbers  $w_1^k w_{n-k}$  differently. This new construction applies to any real projective normal Gorenstein variety. The algebraic Gorenstein condition corresponds to the topological local orientability condition *but real projective normal Gorenstein varieties need not be  $\mathbf{F}_2$ -Witt*, as the 3-fold node discussed below demonstrates (indeed the 3-fold node is topologically the cone on  $S^1 \times S^1$ , whereas the cone on an even dimensional manifold is Witt iff it has no middle-dimensional homology).

This investigation was inspired by Totaro's investigation [Tot00] of the analogous question for complex varieties. He found that the kernel of the complex elliptic genus:

$$\mathrm{MU}_* \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$$

is generated by differences  $X_1 - X_2$  where  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are related by classical flops. In light of his result, this paper's findings can be seen as hinting at an elliptic genus for unoriented manifolds.

## 1. Stiefel-Whitney Classes

Stiefel-Whitney classes measure how twisted a space is. Intuitively, the total Stiefel-Whitney class of a manifold is the sum (in mod 2 homology) of the cells along which its tangent bundle twists. In modern terminology they are "classifying maps seen through the lens of mod 2 cohomology": if the tangent bundle of an unoriented manifold  $M^n$  is classified by a map  $f : M \rightarrow \mathrm{BO}(n)$  (that is,  $TM \cong f^* \gamma_n$  where  $\gamma_n$  is the universal  $n$ -plane bundle over the classifying space  $\mathrm{BO}(n)$ , the Grassmann manifold of  $n$ -planes in  $\mathbf{R}^\infty$ ), then the Stiefel-Whitney classes  $w_i(M)$  of  $M$  are the images under the pullback  $f^* : H^*(\mathrm{BO}(n), \mathbf{Z}/2) \rightarrow$

$H^*(M, \mathbf{Z}/2)$  of the generators of  $H^*(\mathrm{BO}(n), \mathbf{Z}/2) \cong \mathbf{Z}/2[w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n]$  as a  $\mathbf{Z}/2$  algebra. That is,  $w_i(M) = f^*(w_i)$ . This concise description encapsulates a lot of geometry and history.

We will use a generalization of Stiefel-Whitney classes to singular spaces inspired by an older and simpler description of Stiefel-Whitney classes. In his 1935 thesis [Sti35], Stiefel defined the homology class  $w_{n-i}(M)$  in  $H_i(M, \mathbf{Z}/2)$  as the singular locus of a general set of  $i+1$  vector fields and conjectured that it could be defined simply as the sum of all  $i$ -simplices in the barycentric subdivision of a triangulation of  $M$ . Whitney [Whi40] proved Stiefel's conjecture in 1939 but only published an "enigmatically brief and intricate" sketch of a proof (according to AW Tucker's MR review).

In seeking a similar combinatorial formula for rational Pontryagin classes, Cheeger (in collaboration with Simons) rediscovered Stiefel's proof in 1969. Cheeger's proof [Che70] inspired Sullivan to ask under what conditions the sum of all  $i$ -simplices in the barycentric subdivision of a triangulation of a space forms a mod 2 cycle. (To prove Stiefel's conjecture, Cheeger had of course shown that this is always the case for smooth manifolds.) Sullivan (together with Akin) [Sul71] worked out that this is always the case if at each point the local Euler characteristic is odd. Such spaces, which Sullivan called *mod 2 Euler spaces*, could thus be given Stiefel-Whitney classes even if they were not smooth. Sullivan thus began to investigate what classes of spaces other than manifolds were mod 2 Euler spaces. When Sullivan asked Deligne if he could give an example of a complex algebraic variety not satisfying this condition, Deligne surprised Sullivan by almost immediately replying with a convincing argument that no such example existed using Hironaka's local resolution of singularities. This inspired Sullivan to work out a "naive but complicated" proof [Sul71] that all real analytic spaces are mod 2 Euler spaces. Deligne then outlined a conjectural theory of Chern classes for singular varieties based on ideas of Grothendieck, which MacPherson worked out in [Mac74]. The theory is both elegant and flexible and goes as follows.

**PROPOSITION (MacPherson [Mac74]).** *There is a unique covariant functor  $F_{\mathbf{Z}}$  from compact complex algebraic varieties to abelian groups whose value on a variety is the group of constructible functions from that variety to the integers (a function  $V \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  is constructible if it can be written as a finite sum  $\sum n_i 1_{W_i}$  where each  $n_i \in \mathbf{Z}$  and each  $W_i$  is a subvariety of  $V$ ) and whose value  $f_*$  on a map  $f$  satisfies:*

$$f_*(1_W)(p) = \chi(f^{-1}(p) \cap W)$$

where  $1_W$  is the function that is identically one on the subvariety  $W$  and zero elsewhere, and where  $\chi$  denotes the topological Euler characteristic.

**THEOREM (MacPherson [Mac74]).** *There is a natural transformation from the functor  $F_{\mathbf{Z}}$  to integral homology which, on a nonsingular variety  $V$ , assigns to the constant function  $1_V$  the Poincaré dual of the total Chern class of  $V$ . There is only one such natural transformation.*

Explicitly, MacPherson's theorem assigns to any  $\mathbf{Z}$ -constructible function  $\alpha$  on a compact complex algebraic variety  $V$  an element  $c_*(\alpha)$  of  $H_*(V, \mathbf{Z})$  satisfying the following three conditions:

- (1)  $f_*c_*(\alpha) = c_*f_*(\alpha)$
- (2)  $c_*(\alpha + \beta) = c_*(\alpha) + c_*(\beta)$
- (3)  $c_*(1) = c(V) \cap [V]$  if  $V$  is smooth

It is the first of these three properties, the pushforward formula relating  $f_*c_*(\alpha)$  to the Euler characteristic of the fibers of  $f$ , which makes the theory so useful.

There is an analogous theory of Stiefel-Whitney homology classes which replaces complex varieties with real varieties, integral homology with mod 2 homology, and  $\mathbf{Z}$ -constructible functions with  $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -constructible functions satisfying the “local Euler condition”. Such functions, called *Euler functions*, generalize mod 2 Euler spaces in the sense that  $X$  is a mod 2 Euler space if and only if  $1_X$  is an Euler function. We will rely on an analytic version of the theory developed by Fu-McCrory [FM97]. (Fulton-MacPherson developed a PL version within their bivariant framework [FM81].)

**PROPOSITION (Fu-McCrory [FM97]).** *There is a unique covariant functor  $E$  from compact subanalytic spaces to abelian groups whose value on a space is the subgroup of constructible functions from that space to  $\mathbf{Z}/2$  satisfying the local Euler condition:*

$$D(\alpha) = \alpha$$

where  $D$  is the duality operator uniquely defined by the equation:

$$D(1_W)(p) = \chi_p(W) = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{rank } H_i(W, W - p)$$

and whose value  $f_*$  on a map  $f$  satisfies:

$$f_*(1_W)(p) = \chi(f^{-1}(p) \cap W)$$

where  $1_W$  is the function that is identically one on the subanalytic subset  $W$  and zero elsewhere, and where  $\chi$  denotes the topological Euler characteristic.

**THEOREM (Fu-McCrory [FM97]).** *There is a natural transformation from the functor  $E$  to mod 2 homology which, on a real analytic manifold  $V$ , assigns to the constant function  $1_V$  the Poincaré dual of the total Stiefel-Whitney class of  $V$ . There is only one such natural transformation.*

Explicitly, Fu-McCrory’s theorem assigns to any Euler function  $\alpha$  on a compact subanalytic space  $V$  an element  $w_*(\alpha)$  of  $H_*(V, \mathbf{Z}/2)$  satisfying the following three conditions:

- (1)  $f_*w_*(\alpha) = w_*f_*(\alpha)$
- (2)  $w_*(\alpha + \beta) = w_*(\alpha) + w_*(\beta)$
- (3)  $w_*(1) = w(V) \cap [V]$  if  $V$  is smooth

Again, it is the first of these three properties, the pushforward formula relating  $f_*w_*(\alpha)$  to the Euler characteristics of the fibers of  $f$ , which makes the theory so useful.

## 2. Stiefel-Whitney Numbers and Unoriented Bordism

Since Stiefel-Whitney classes of two  $n$ -folds live in different cohomology rings, they cannot be compared directly. One way to compare them is to compare their iterated intersection numbers, that is the products:

$$w_I[M] := w_{i_1}(M) \cdots w_{i_r}(M) \in H^n(M, \mathbf{Z}/2) \cong \mathbf{Z}/2$$

where  $M$  is an  $n$ -fold and  $I = i_1 + \cdots + i_r = n$  is a partition of  $n$ . This cohomology class  $w_I[M]$  is called the  $I^{\text{th}}$  *Stiefel-Whitney number* of  $M$  since it can be naturally identified with a number mod 2. The collection of Stiefel-Whitney numbers  $w_I[M]$  as  $I$  ranges over all partitions of  $n$  can be thought of as “topological coordinates” of  $M$ .

What is the geometric meaning of these coordinates? Two  $n$ -folds  $M$  and  $N$  are said to be *bordant* if there is an  $(n+1)$ -fold  $W$  with boundary  $\partial W = M \sqcup N$ . It is not difficult to prove that if  $M$  and  $N$  are bordant then  $w_I[M] = w_I[N]$  for all  $I$ . Thom proved the much deeper converse: if  $w_I[M] = w_I[N]$  for all partitions  $I$  then there exists a manifold  $W$  with boundary  $\partial W = M \sqcup N$ . Thus Stiefel-Whitney numbers detect equality in what is called the *unoriented bordism ring*  $\text{MO}_*$ , the ring consisting of bordism equivalence classes of unoriented manifolds with addition induced by disjoint union and multiplication induced by topological product.

In a tremendous feat of creativity and precision, Thom [Tho54] showed that the unoriented bordism ring  $\text{MO}_*$  is a polynomial algebra freely generated over  $\mathbf{Z}/2$  by manifolds  $Y^n$ , one in each dimension  $n$  not of the form  $2^j - 1$ . That is:

$$\text{MO}_* \cong \mathbf{Z}/2[Y^2, Y^4, Y^5, Y^6, Y^8, \dots]$$

The generator  $Y^n$  can be taken to be any degree- $(1,1)$  hypersurface in  $\mathbf{RP}^a \times \mathbf{RP}^b$  provided  $a + b = n + 1$  and the binary expansions of  $a$  and  $b$  are disjoint, that is there is no “carrying” when adding them in base 2 (see [MS74, Problem 16-F on p. 197]). Actually, if  $n$  is even then  $Y^n$  can be taken simply to be  $\mathbf{RP}^n$ .

An essential tool in establishing such claims and the claims below is the characteristic number  $s_n(w)[Y^n]$ , which equals 1 (mod 2) precisely when  $Y$  is indecomposable in the ring  $\text{MO}_*$ . It is defined as follows: If the Stiefel-Whitney classes  $w_1, \dots, w_k$  are viewed as the elementary symmetric polynomials in formal variables  $t_1, \dots, t_N$ , then  $s_k(w)$  is the power-sum polynomial  $t_1^k + \cdots + t_N^k$  (which, being a symmetric polynomial, can be expressed as a polynomial in the Stiefel-Whitney classes  $w_1, \dots, w_k$ ). See [MS74, p. 192].

For example, the formula  $w_*(\mathbf{RP}^n) = (1 + w_1 O(1))^{n+1}$  lets one think of  $w_k(\mathbf{RP}^n)$  as the  $k^{\text{th}}$  elementary symmetric function in  $n+1$  variables, all set to the value  $w_1 O(1)$ . This immediately gives the formula  $s_k(w)(\mathbf{RP}^n) = (n+1)w_1 O(1)^k$  which implies that  $\mathbf{RP}^{\text{even}}$  is not bordant to a (nontrivial) product of manifolds.

### 3. Classical Flops

The simplest example of a variety having two different small resolutions, discovered by Atiyah [Ati58], is the 3-fold node  $Y = \{x_1 x_2 - x_3 x_4 = 0\} \subset \mathbf{P}^4$ . Near its singular point,  $Y$  is Zariski locally isomorphic to the affine cone of  $\sigma(\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1) \subset \mathbf{P}^3$  where  $\sigma : \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$  is the Segre embedding corresponding to the ample line bundle  $O(1, 1) = \pi_1^* O(1) \otimes \pi_2^* O(1)$ . Blowing up  $Y$  at its singular point therefore gives a smooth resolution  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$  with exceptional divisor  $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$  and normal bundle  $N_{\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 / \tilde{X}} \cong O_{\mathbf{P}^3}(-1)|_{\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1} \cong O(-1, -1)$ .

By definition, a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is *small* if:

$$\text{codim}\{y \in Y \mid \dim f^{-1}(y) \geq r\} > 2r$$

for all  $r > 0$ . Since the singular point of  $Y$  has 2-dimensional fiber  $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 \subset \tilde{X}$  (and since all other points of  $Y$  have zero-dimensional fiber),  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$  is not small. However, since the exceptional divisor  $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$  has normal bundle  $\mathcal{O}(-1, -1)$ , we can contract either  $\mathbf{P}^1$  to obtain two small resolutions  $X_1 \rightarrow Y \leftarrow X_2$  which are projective over  $Y$ . The 3-folds  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are said to be related by an *Atiyah flop*.

More generally, if  $Y$  is any projective 3-fold which is smooth everywhere except one point where it is Zariski locally isomorphic to the 3-fold node then  $Y$  has two different small resolutions  $X_1 \rightarrow Y \leftarrow X_2$ . These 3-folds  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are said to be related by a *classical flop*.

Totaro [Tot00, pp. 770–5] defined an *n-dimensional classical flop* to be a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{X} & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ X_1 & & X_2 \\ \searrow & & \swarrow \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

where  $Y$  is a singular projective  $n$ -fold which, near each point of its singular locus  $Z$ , is Zariski locally isomorphic to the 3-fold node times a smooth  $(n-3)$ -fold. Blowing up  $Y$  along  $Z$  gives a smooth resolution  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$  whose exceptional divisor is a  $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$  bundle over the smooth  $(n-3)$ -fold  $Z$ . Contracting either family of  $\mathbf{P}^1$ 's gives two different small resolutions  $X_1 \rightarrow Y \leftarrow X_2$ .

Totaro showed that any  $n$ -dimensional flop  $X_1 \rightarrow Y \leftarrow X_2$  can be described along  $Z$  by rank-2 vector bundles  $A, B$  over  $Z$ , where the inverse image of  $Z$  in  $X_1$  is the  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle  $\mathbf{P}(A)$  and has normal bundle  $B \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)$  and where the inverse image of  $Z$  in  $X_2$  is the  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle  $\mathbf{P}(B)$  and has normal bundle  $A \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)$ . He showed that to any rank-2 algebraic vector bundles  $A, B$  over  $Z$  there corresponds a classical flop. Moreover, he showed that in  $\text{MO}_*$  the difference  $X_1 - X_2$  equals the total space of the projective bundle  $\mathbf{RP}(A \oplus B^*) \rightarrow Z$ . Thus we can determine how a Stiefel-Whitney number  $w_I$  changes under a classical flop by computing  $w_I[\mathbf{RP}(A \oplus B^*)]$ .

Note that the resolutions  $X_1 \rightarrow Y \leftarrow X_2$  of a classical flop are *crepant*. That is,  $Y$  has a line bundle  $K_Y$  which pulls back to the canonical bundles  $K_{X_1}$  and  $K_{X_2}$ . Indeed, according to Proposition 10 below, *any* small resolution  $X \rightarrow Y$  is crepant provided  $Y$  is projective, normal and Gorenstein. (The singular space  $Y$  of a classical flop is projective by assumption; it is Gorenstein since near each singular point it is a hypersurface times a smooth  $(n-3)$ -fold and is therefore a local complete intersection; it is normal according to Serre's criterion for normality since its singular locus  $Z$  has codimension 3 (see [Har77, Proposition II.8.23b]).)

#### 4. Unoriented Bordism Modulo Flops

Now we have enough background to prove the following result, which Totaro stated without proof in [Tot02].

**THEOREM 1.** *The  $\mathbf{F}_2$ -vector space of Stiefel-Whitney numbers which are invariant under real flops of  $n$ -manifolds is spanned by the numbers  $w_1^k w_{n-k}$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$  modulo those Stiefel-Whitney numbers which vanish for all  $n$ -manifolds. The dimension of this space of invariant Stiefel-Whitney numbers,*

modulo those which vanish for all  $n$ -manifolds, is 0 for  $n$  odd and  $\lfloor n/4 \rfloor + 1$  for  $n$  even. The quotient ring of  $\mathbf{MO}_*$  by the ideal  $I$  of real flops is isomorphic to:

$$\mathbf{F}_2[\mathbf{RP}^2, \mathbf{RP}^4, \mathbf{RP}^8, \dots] / ((\mathbf{RP}^{2^a})^2 = (\mathbf{RP}^2)^{2^a} \text{ for all } a \geq 2)$$

We prove this in several stages.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *The Stiefel-Whitney numbers  $w_1^k w_{n-k}$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$  are invariant under classical flops, equivalently they vanish on the ideal  $I$ .*

**PROOF 1.** We use the theory of Stiefel-Whitney classes discussed in Section 1. Consider a classical flop  $X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} Y \xleftarrow{f_2} X_2$ . Compute:

$$\begin{aligned} w_1^k w_{n-k}[X_i] &= \langle w_1(X_i)^k w_{n-k}(X_i), [X_i] \rangle \\ &= \langle w_1(X_i)^k, w_{n-k}(X_i) \cap [X_i] \rangle \\ &= \langle w_1(X_i)^k, w_{n-k}(1_{X_i}) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y$  is crepant, this equals:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \langle f_i^* w_1(Y)^k, w_{n-k}(1_{X_i}) \rangle \\ &= \langle w_1(Y)^k, f_{i*} w_{n-k}(1_{X_i}) \rangle \\ &= \langle w_1(Y)^k, w_{n-k} f_{i*}(1_{X_i}) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f_i$  restricts to an  $\mathbf{RP}^1$ -bundle over  $Z$  and to an isomorphism over  $Y - Z$ , and since  $\chi(\mathbf{RP}^1) = 0$ , this equals:

$$= \langle w_1(Y)^k, w_{n-k}(1_Y + 1_Z) \rangle$$

Since this is independent of  $i$ , it follows that  $w_1^k w_{n-k}[X_1] = w_1^k w_{n-k}[X_2]$ .  $\square$

**PROOF 2.** The tangent bundle of  $\mathbf{RP}(A \oplus B^*)$  has a splitting:

$$0 \rightarrow T_{\text{rel}}^3 \rightarrow T\mathbf{RP}(A \oplus B^*) \rightarrow \pi^* TZ \rightarrow 0$$

and  $T_{\text{rel}} \oplus O \cong (A \oplus B^*) \otimes O(1) \cong A \otimes O(1) \oplus B^* \otimes O(1)$ . Let  $u = w_1 O(1)$  and let  $x_1, x_2$  and  $x_3, x_4$  denote the Stiefel-Whitney roots of  $A$  and  $B^*$  respectively. Then the Stiefel-Whitney classes of  $T\mathbf{RP}(A \oplus B^*)$  can be expressed as the elementary symmetric functions in the Stiefel-Whitney roots of  $TZ$  together with the formal variables  $x_1 + u, \dots, x_4 + u$ . This gives one too many formal variables to be regarded as Stiefel-Whitney roots, but they can nevertheless be used to compute:

$$\begin{aligned} &w_1^k w_{n-k}[\mathbf{RP}(A \oplus B^*)] \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{RP}(A \oplus B^*)} (x_1 + u + x_2 + u + x_3 + u + x_4 + u + \pi^* w_1(TZ))^k w_{n-k}(\mathbf{RP}(A \oplus B^*)) \end{aligned}$$

The  $u$ 's cancel modulo 2 to give:

$$= \int_Z \pi_* [(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + \pi^* w_1(TZ))^k w_{n-k}(\mathbf{RP}(A \oplus B^*))]$$

Since  $\pi_*$  is a map of  $H^*(Z)$ -modules and since the  $x_i$ 's pull back from  $H^*(Z)$ , this equals:

$$= \int_Z (x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + w_1(TZ))^k \pi_* [w_{n-k}(\mathbf{RP}(A \oplus B^*))]$$

which equals zero since  $\pi_*[w_{n-k}(\mathbf{RP}(A \oplus B^*))] = 0$ . Indeed, the above splitting of  $T\mathbf{RP}(A \oplus B^*)$  implies that:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_*[w_{n-k}(\mathbf{RP}(A \oplus B^*))] &= \pi_*[\pi^* w_{n-k}(TZ) + \pi^* w_{n-k-1}(TZ) w_1(T_{\text{rel}}) \\ &\quad + \pi^* w_{n-k-2}(TZ) w_2(T_{\text{rel}}) + \pi^* w_{n-k-3}(TZ) w_3(T_{\text{rel}})] \end{aligned}$$

and since  $\pi_*$  is a map of  $H^*(Z)$ -modules which decreases degree by 3, this equals:

$$= w_{n-k-3}(TZ) \pi_*[w_3(T_{\text{rel}})] \in H^{n-k-3}(Z)$$

which equals zero since  $\int_{\text{pt}} : H^0(Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2$  is iso and since:

$$\int_{\text{pt}} \pi_*[w_3(T_{\text{rel}})] = \int_{\pi^{-1}(\text{pt}) \cong \mathbf{RP}^3} w_3(T_{\text{rel}}) = \int_{\mathbf{RP}^3} w_3(T\mathbf{RP}^3) = \chi(\mathbf{RP}^3) = 0 \pmod{2}. \quad \square$$

**PROPOSITION 3.** *The degree- $2^k$  generators of  $\text{MO}_*$  survive to the quotient  $\text{MO}_*/I$ .*

**PROOF.** Any degree- $2^k$  generator of  $\text{MO}_*$  (as an  $\mathbf{F}_2$ -algebra) has  $s_{2^k}(w) \neq 0 \pmod{2}$ . But in characteristic 2:

$$s_{2^k}(w) = (t_1^{2^k} + \cdots + t_{2^k}^{2^k}) = (t_1 + \cdots + t_{2^k})^{2^k} = w_1^{2^k}$$

which vanishes on  $I$  by Proposition 2.  $\square$

Now we use flops corresponding to the bundles  $A = \pi_1^* O(1) \oplus \pi_2^* O(1)$  and  $B = O^{\oplus 2}$  over  $Z = \mathbf{RP}^a \times \mathbf{RP}^b$  for particular values of  $a$  and  $b$  to show that all other (suitably chosen) generators of  $\text{MO}_*$  (as an  $\mathbf{F}_2$ -algebra) do not survive to the quotient  $\text{MO}_*/I$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.** *Consider the projective bundle:*

$$E = \mathbf{RP}(\pi_1^* O(1) \oplus \pi_2^* O(1) \oplus O^{\oplus 2})$$

over  $Z = \mathbf{RP}^a \times \mathbf{RP}^b$ . Then  $s_{a+b+3}[E] \neq 0$  if  $a = 2^k - 2$  and  $b = 0, 1, \dots, 2^k - 3$  for any integer  $k \geq 2$ .

*This gives a sequence of projective bundles:*

$$E_5, E_6; E_9, E_{10}, E_{11}, E_{12}, E_{13}, E_{14}; E_{17}, E_{18}, \dots$$

with  $s_n[E_n] = 1$ . Since  $\text{MO}_*$  has no generators in degrees of the form  $2^k - 1$ , this implies that  $\text{MO}_*/I$  is generated by the manifolds  $\mathbf{RP}^{2^k}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ .

**PROOF.** Totaro computed a formula for  $s_n(c)(\widetilde{\mathbf{CP}}(A \oplus B))$  in [Tot00, p. 77]:

$$\int_Z \sum_{\substack{i_1+i_2+i_3+i_4=n-3 \\ i_r \geq 0}} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} x_4^{i_4} \left[ (-1)^{i_2} \binom{n-1}{i_1} + (-1)^{i_1} \binom{n-1}{i_2} + (-1)^{i_4+1} \binom{n-1}{i_3} + (-1)^{i_3+1} \binom{n-1}{i_4} \right]$$

where  $x_1, x_2$  are the Chern roots of  $A$  and  $x_3, x_4$  the Chern roots of  $B$ . Interpreting this mod 2 gives a formula for  $s_n(w)(\mathbf{RP}(A \oplus B^*))$  in terms of the Stiefel-Whitney roots of  $A$  and  $B$ .

For  $E$ :

$$x_1 = \pi_1^* w_1(O(1)) = g_1 \in H^1(\mathbf{RP}^{2^k-2} \times \mathbf{RP}^b)$$

$$x_2 = \pi_2^* w_1(O(1)) = g_2 \in H^1(\mathbf{RP}^{2^k-2} \times \mathbf{RP}^b)$$

$$x_3 = x_4 = 0$$

so Totaro's formula gives:

$$s_{a+b+3}[E] = \int_Z x_1^{2^k-2} x_2^b \left[ \binom{2^k+b}{2^k-2} + \binom{2^k+b}{b} + \binom{2^k+b}{0} + \binom{2^k+b}{0} \right] = \binom{2^k+b}{2^k-2} + \binom{2^k+b}{b}.$$

This number is odd. Indeed the identity  $\text{ord}_2(n!) = n - \alpha_2(n)$ , where  $\text{ord}_2(n!)$  is the number of times 2 divides  $n!$  and  $\alpha_2(n)$  is the number of nonzero digits in the binary expansion of  $n$ , lets us compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_2 \binom{2^k+b}{b} &= \text{ord}_2 \frac{(2^k+b)!}{b! 2^k!} \\ &= \text{ord}_2((2^k+b)!) - \text{ord}_2(b!) - \text{ord}_2(2^k!) \\ &= 2^k + b - \alpha_2(2^k+b) - b + \alpha_2(b) - 2^k + \alpha_2(2^k) \\ &= \alpha_2(b) - \alpha_2(2^k+b) + 1 \\ &= 0 \quad \text{since } b < 2^k \\ \text{ord}_2 \binom{2^k+b}{2^k-2} &= \text{ord}_2 \frac{(2^k+b)!}{(2^k-2)!(b+2)!} \\ &= \text{ord}_2((2^k+b)!) - \text{ord}_2((b+2)!) - \text{ord}_2((2^k-2)!) \\ &= 2^k + b - \alpha_2(2^k+b) - \text{ord}_2((b+2)!) - 2^k + 2 + \alpha_2(2^k-2) \\ &= b - \alpha_2(2^k+b) - \text{ord}_2(b+2)! + 2 + (k-1) \\ &= b - \alpha_2(b) - \text{ord}_2((b+2)!) + k \quad \text{since } b < 2^k \\ &= \text{ord}_2(b!) - \text{ord}_2((b+2)!) + k \\ &> 0 \quad \text{since } b \leq 2^k - 3. \end{aligned}$$

This final inequality holds since  $(b+2)!/b! = (b+2)(b+1)$  so  $\text{ord}_2((b+2)!) - \text{ord}_2(b!)$  equals  $\text{ord}_2(b+2)$  or  $\text{ord}_2(b+1)$ , which are both  $< k$  since  $b \leq 2^k - 3$ .  $\square$

All that remains to prove Theorem 1 is to determine what relations the generators  $\mathbf{RP}^{2^k}$  of  $\text{MO}_*/I$  satisfy. The key is the following formula.

LEMMA 5. *Let  $2n = 2b_1 + \dots + 2b_r$  be a partition. Then:*

$$w_1^0 w_{2n} [\mathbf{RP}^{2b_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{RP}^{2b_r}] = 1$$

and if  $2i \geq 2$  has binary expansion  $2i = \sum_{k=1}^s 2^{c_k}$  then:

$$w_1^{2i} w_{2n-2i} [\mathbf{RP}^{2b_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{RP}^{2b_r}]$$

equals the number (mod 2) of sequences  $1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_s \leq r$  such that the binary expansion of  $2b_{j_k}$  contains  $2^{c_k}$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq s$ .

PROOF. The first equality is immediate since:

$$w_{2n} [\mathbf{RP}^{2b_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{RP}^{2b_r}] = \prod_{j=1}^r \binom{2b_j+1}{2b_j} = \prod_{j=1}^r (2b_j+1) = 1.$$

The second equality is more subtle:

$$\begin{aligned} w_1^{2i} w_{2n-2i} [\mathbf{RP}^{2b_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{RP}^{2b_r}] \\ = ((2b_1+1)g_1 + \dots + (2b_r+1)g_r)^{\sum 2^{c_k}} \sigma_{2n-2i}(\overbrace{g_1, \dots, g_1}^{2b_1+1}, \dots, \overbrace{g_r, \dots, g_r}^{2b_r+1}) \end{aligned}$$

which in characteristic 2 equals:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left[ \prod_{k=1}^s (g_1^{2^{c_k}} + \cdots + g_r^{2^{c_k}}) \right] \sigma_{2n-2i}(g_1, \dots, g_r) \\
&= \left[ \sum_{1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_s \leq r} g_{j_1}^{2^{c_1}} g_{j_2}^{2^{c_2}} \cdots g_{j_s}^{2^{c_s}} \right] \sigma_{2n-2i}(g_1, \dots, g_r) \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_s \leq r} \prod_{j=1}^r \binom{2b_j + 1}{2b_j - \sum \{2^{c_k} : j_k = j\}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Each of these binomial factors satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{ord}_2 \binom{2b_j + 1}{2b_j - \sum_{k: j_k = j} 2^{c_k}} &= \text{ord}_2 \binom{2b_j + 1}{2b_j - 2^{k_1} - \cdots - 2^{k_\ell}} \\
&= -\alpha_2(2b_j + 1) + \alpha_2(2b_j - 2^{k_1} - \cdots - 2^{k_\ell}) + \alpha_2(2^{k_1} + \cdots + 2^{k_\ell} + 1) \\
&= -\alpha_2(2b_j) + \alpha_2(2b_j - 2^{k_1} - \cdots - 2^{k_\ell}) + \ell
\end{aligned}$$

which equals zero iff the binary expansion of  $2b_j$  contains  $2^{k_1}, \dots, 2^{k_\ell}$ . Indeed, note that:

$$\text{ord}_2 n = \text{ord}_2 \frac{n!}{(n-1)!} = \alpha_2(n) - \alpha_2(n-1) - 1$$

which implies that  $\alpha_2(n) - \alpha_2(n-1) \geq 1$  with equality iff  $n$  is odd. More generally,  $\alpha_2(n) - \alpha_2(n-2^k) \geq 1$  with equality iff the binary expansion of  $n$  contains  $2^k$ . Assuming without loss of generality that  $k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_\ell$ , use this fact repeatedly to conclude that:

- (1)  $\alpha_2(2b_j) - \alpha_2(2b_j - 2^{k_\ell}) \geq 1$  with equality iff the binary expansion of  $b_j$  contains  $2^{k_\ell}$ .
- (2)  $\alpha_2(2b_j - 2^{k_\ell}) - \alpha_2(2b_j - 2^{k_{\ell-1}} - 2^{k_\ell}) \geq 1$  with equality iff the binary expansion of  $2b_j - 2^{k_\ell}$  contains  $2^{k_{\ell-1}}$ , which occurs iff the binary expansion of  $2b_j$  contains  $2^{k_{\ell-1}}$ .
- $\vdots$
- ( $\ell$ )  $\alpha_2(2b_j - 2^{k_2} - \cdots - 2^{k_\ell}) - \alpha_2(2b_j - 2^{k_1} - \cdots - 2^{k_\ell}) \geq 1$  with equality iff the binary expansion of  $2b_j - 2^{k_2} - \cdots - 2^{k_\ell}$  contains  $2^{k_1}$ , which occurs iff the binary expansion of  $2b_j$  contains  $2^{k_1}$ .

Add these together to conclude that  $\alpha_2(2b_j) - \alpha_2(2b_j - 2^{k_1} - \cdots - 2^{k_\ell}) \geq \ell$  with equality iff the binary expansion of  $2b_j$  contains  $2^{k_1}, \dots, 2^{k_\ell}$ , as desired.

Thus the nonzero summands are those corresponding to sequences  $j_1, \dots, j_s$  such that the binary expansion of  $2b_{j_k}$  contains  $2^{c_k}$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq r$ , as desired.  $\square$

A consequence of Lemma 5 is that:

$$w_1^{2i} w_{2n-2i} [\mathbf{RP}^{2^a} \times \mathbf{RP}^{2^a} \times \mathbf{RP}^J] = w_1^{2i} w_{2n-2i} [\overbrace{\mathbf{RP}^2 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{RP}^2}^{2^a} \times \mathbf{RP}^J]$$

for all  $0 \leq 2i \leq 2n = 2^{a+1} + \sum J$ . The final task is to show that these are precisely the relations in  $\text{MO}_*/I$ .

First we will show that manifolds of the form:

$$\mathbf{RP}^2 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{RP}^2 \times \mathbf{RP}^{2^{a_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{RP}^{2^{a_r}} \quad \text{with } 4 \leq 2^{a_1} < 2^{a_2} < \cdots < 2^{a_r}$$

are linearly independent in  $\text{MO}_*/I$ . Note that in a given dimension  $2n$ , such manifolds correspond uniquely to integers  $0 \leq 4j \leq 2n$ . Namely, given an integer  $0 \leq 4j \leq 2n$  with binary expansion  $4j = 2^{a_1} + \cdots + 2^{a_r}$ , let  $J_{2n}(4j)$  denote the partition:

$$2n = \overbrace{2 + \cdots + 2}^{=2n-4j} + \overbrace{2^{a_1} + \cdots + 2^{a_r}}^{=4j}$$

An important consequence of Lemma 5 can then be stated as follows.

**COROLLARY 6.** *Let  $0 \leq 4i, 4j \leq 2n$  be integers with binary expansions  $4i = \sum_l 2^{b_l}$ ,  $4j = \sum_k 2^{a_k}$ . Then  $w_1^{4i} w_{2n-4i} [\mathbf{RP}^{J_{2n}(4j)}]$  equals 1 iff  $\{b_k\} \subseteq \{a_l\}$ .*

**PROOF.** This is immediate since if there is a sequence as in Lemma 5 then it is unique.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 7.** *The manifolds:*

$$\{\mathbf{RP}^{J_{2n}(4j)} : 0 \leq 4j \leq 2n\}$$

*are linearly independent in  $\text{MO}_{2n}/I$ .*

**PROOF.** Consider the matrix:

$$\left[ w_1^{4i} w_{2n-4i} [\mathbf{RP}^{J_{2n}(4j)}] \right]_{0 \leq 4i, 4j \leq 2n}$$

By changing bases to:

$$b_{4j} = \mathbf{RP}^{J_{2n}(4j)} + \sum_{4j'} b_{4j'}$$

where  $4j'$  ranges over all sub-sums of the binary expansion of  $4j$ , we obtain the matrix:

$$\left[ w_1^{4i} w_{2n-4i} [b_{4j}] \right]_{0 \leq 4i, 4j \leq 2n}$$

which is diagonal by Corollary 6.

The result then follows since by Proposition 2 the Stiefel-Whitney numbers  $w_1^{4i} w_{2n-4i}$  vanish on  $I$  and hence are well-defined on the quotient  $\text{MO}_{2n}/I$ .  $\square$

Now we find the relations.

**PROPOSITION 8.** *Consider the projective bundle:*

$$R_{2^{a+1}} = \mathbf{RP}(O(1) \oplus O^{\oplus 3})$$

*over  $Z = \mathbf{RP}^{2^{a+1}-3}$ . If  $2^a \geq 4$  then  $s_{2^a, 2^a} [R_{2^{a+1}}] = 1$ .*

**PROOF.** The Stiefel-Whitney roots of  $O(1) \oplus O^{\oplus 3}$  are all zero except  $x_1 = w_1(O(1)) = g \in H^1(\mathbf{RP}^{2^{a+1}-3})$ . This lets us compute:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{2^a, 2^a} [R_{2^{a+1}}] &= \int_Z \pi_* \left[ \binom{3}{1} (g^{2^a} + u^{2^a}) u^{2^a} + \binom{3}{2} u^{2^{a+1}} \right] + (g^{2^a} + u^{2^a} + 3u^{2^a}) s_{2^a}(TZ) + s_{2^a, 2^a}(TZ) \\ &= \int_Z \pi_* [g^{2^a} u^{2^a} + g^{2^a} s_{2^a}(TZ) + s_{2^a, 2^a}(TZ)] \\ &= \int_Z g^{2^a} \pi_*(u^{2^a}) = \int_{\mathbf{RP}^{2^{a+1}-3}} g^{2^{a+1}-3} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

since:

$$\pi_*(u^{2^a}) = c_{i-(r-1)}(-O(1) \oplus O^{\oplus 3}) = \sum_{\substack{i_1+i_2+i_3+i_4=2^a-3 \\ i_j \geq 0}} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} x_4^{i_4} = g^{2^a-3}$$

and since  $2^a \geq 4$ .  $\square$

The projective bundles  $R_{2^a}$  thus give relations in each degree  $2^a \geq 4$  of  $\text{MO}_*/I$ . These relations are not particularly simple to state but their existence alone implies the following simpler relations.

**PROPOSITION 9.** *The differences  $(\mathbf{RP}^{2^a})^2 - (\mathbf{RP}^2)^{2^a}$  for  $a \geq 2$  are in the ideal  $I$ .*

**PROOF.** A generating set for  $\text{MO}_*$  as an  $\mathbf{F}_2$ -algebra is given by the manifolds:

$$\mathbf{RP}^2, \mathbf{RP}^4, E_5, E_6, \mathbf{RP}^8, E_9, E_{10}, E_{11}, E_{12}, E_{13}, E_{14}, \mathbf{RP}^{16}, E_{17}, \dots$$

where the  $E_n$ 's are the projective bundles provided by Proposition 4. One can therefore write:

$$R_{2^{a+1}} = \sum a_J \mathbf{RP}^J + (\text{terms involving } E_n \text{'s})$$

where  $J$  ranges over all partitions of  $2^{a+1}$  into powers of two.

Proposition 8 established that  $s_{2^a, 2^a}[R_{2^{a+1}}] = 1$ . This implies that  $a_{2^a, 2^a} = 1$ . Indeed Thom's formula:

$$s_I(w(E \oplus E')) = \sum_{J+K=I} s_J(w(E)) \cdot s_K(w(E'))$$

(see p. 190 of [MS74]) implies that if  $m, n \geq 1$  then:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{2^a, 2^a}[M^m \times N^n] &= s_{2^a, 2^a}[M] + s_{2^a}[M] \cdot s_{2^a}[N] + s_{2^a, 2^a}[N] \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = n = 2^a \text{ and } M, N \text{ are indecomposable in } \text{MO}_* \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $s_{2^a, 2^a}[\mathbf{RP}^{2^a, 2^a}] = 1$ , and the only other term on the right hand side of the above formula for  $R_{2^{a+1}}$  that could possibly have  $s_{2^a, 2^a}$  nonzero is  $\mathbf{RP}^{2^{a+1}}$ . But direct calculation shows that:

$$s_{2^a, 2^a}[\mathbf{RP}^{2^{a+1}}] = \binom{2^{a+1}+1}{2} = 2^a(2^{a+1}+1) = 0$$

Thus  $a_{2^a, 2^a} = 1$ .

By subtracting the terms involving  $E_n$ 's from the above formula for  $R_{2^{a+1}}$ , we obtain an element of  $I$  of the form:

$$\sum a_J \mathbf{RP}^J$$

with  $a_{2^a, 2^a} = 1$ . Suppose the proposition has been proved in degrees  $8, \dots, 2^a$ . By subtracting some element of the ideal generated by the elements:

$$(\mathbf{RP}^{4,4} - \mathbf{RP}^{2,2,2,2}, \dots, \mathbf{RP}^{2^{a-1}, 2^{a-1}} - \mathbf{RP}^{2, \dots, 2})$$

(which by inductive hypothesis lie in  $I$ ) we can obtain an element of  $I$  of the form:

$$\mathbf{RP}^{2^a, 2^a} + \sum_J b_J \mathbf{RP}^J$$

where  $J$  now ranges only over partitions of the form  $J_{2^{a+1}}(4j)$  for  $0 \leq 4j \leq 2^{a+1}$  (including the partition  $2^{a+1} = 2^{a+1}$ ). Note that in degree 8 no subtraction is needed to bring the element into this form.

Since this element belongs to  $I$ , its Stiefel-Whitney numbers  $w_1^{4i} w_{2^{a+1}-4i}$  are zero (by Proposition 2). This implies that:

$$w_1^{4i} w_{2^{a+1}-4i}[\mathbf{RP}^{2^a, 2^a}] = \sum_J b_J \cdot w_1^{4i} w_{2^{a+1}-4i}[\mathbf{RP}^J]$$

for all  $0 \leq 4i \leq 2^{a+1}$ . Lemma 5 gives:

$$w_1^{4i} w_{2^{a+1}-4i}[\mathbf{RP}^{2^a, 2^a}] = w_1^{4i} w_{2^{a+1}-4i}[\mathbf{RP}^{2, \dots, 2}] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Corollary 7 showed that the matrix  $\left[ w_1^{4i} w_{2n-4i}[\mathbf{RP}^{J_{2n}(4j)}] \right]_{0 \leq 4i, 4j \leq 2n}$  is nonsingular, so  $b_{2, \dots, 2} = 1$  and all other  $b_J = 0$ . Thus  $\mathbf{RP}^{2^a, 2^a} - \mathbf{RP}^{2, \dots, 2} \in I$  as desired. The result now follows by induction.  $\square$

Proposition 9 implies that any element of  $\text{MO}_{2n}/I$  can be written as a sum of the form  $\sum_J a_J \mathbf{RP}^J$  where  $J$  ranges over partitions of the form  $J_{2n}(4j)$  for  $0 \leq 4j \leq 2n$ . Corollary 7 showed that these spaces  $\mathbf{RP}^J$  are linearly independent in  $\text{MO}_*/I$ . Thus the ring  $\text{MO}_*/I$  is completely described. Note that there are precisely  $\lfloor 2n/4 \rfloor + 1$  such spaces  $\mathbf{RP}^J$  since they correspond to integers  $0 \leq 4i \leq 2n$ .

## 5. Real Projective Varieties with Gorenstein Singularities

Above we determined that the  $\mathbf{F}_2$ -vector space of Stiefel-Whitney numbers invariant under classical flops is spanned by the numbers  $w_1^k w_{n-k}$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ . Now we define these numbers for any real projective normal Gorenstein variety and show that this definition is compatible with small resolutions whenever they exist.

Throughout this section let  $Y$  be an  $n$ -dimensional projective normal Gorenstein variety defined over  $\mathbf{R}$ . Let  $Y(\mathbf{R})$  and  $Y(\mathbf{C})$  denote the set of real and complex points of  $Y$  equipped with the classical topology. Let  $i_Y$  denote the inclusion of  $Y(\mathbf{R})$  into  $Y(\mathbf{C})$  as the fixed-point set of the involution induced by complex conjugation. For any morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , let  $f_{\mathbf{R}} : X(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow Y(\mathbf{R})$  and  $f_{\mathbf{C}} : X(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow Y(\mathbf{C})$  denote the corresponding maps.

We will define the numbers  $w_1^k w_{n-k}[Y]$  in two stages. First we will construct a cohomology class  $w_1(Y) \in H^1(Y(\mathbf{R}), \mathbf{Z}/2)$  and show that  $w_1(X(\mathbf{R})) = f_{\mathbf{R}}^* w_1(Y)$  for any small resolution  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . Second we will construct homology classes  $w_{n-k}(Y) \in H_k(Y(\mathbf{R}), \mathbf{Z}/2)$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$  and show that  $w_{n-k}(Y) = f_{\mathbf{R}*}(w_{n-k}(X(\mathbf{R})) \cap [X(\mathbf{R})])$  for any small resolution  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . Then we can define:

$$w_1^k w_{n-k}[Y] := \langle w_1(Y)^k, w_{n-k}(Y) \rangle$$

and it will follow that if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a small resolution then:

$$\begin{aligned}
w_1^k w_{n-k}[Y] &= \langle w_1(Y)^k, w_{n-k}(Y) \rangle \\
&= \langle w_1(Y)^k, f_{\mathbf{R}*}(w_{n-k}(X(\mathbf{R})) \cap [X(\mathbf{R})]) \rangle \\
&= \langle f_{\mathbf{R}}^* w_1(Y)^k, w_{n-k}(X(\mathbf{R})) \cap [X(\mathbf{R})] \rangle \\
&= \langle w_1(X(\mathbf{R}))^k, w_{n-k}(X(\mathbf{R})) \cap [X(\mathbf{R})] \rangle \\
&= \langle w_1(X(\mathbf{R}))^k w_{n-k}(X(\mathbf{R})), [X(\mathbf{R})] \rangle \\
&= w_1^k w_{n-k}[X(\mathbf{R})].
\end{aligned}$$

Note that, as our notation suggests, the classes  $w_1(Y)$  and  $w_{n-k}(Y)$  will not be determined solely by the topology of the real points  $Y(\mathbf{R})$ . They will depend on the algebraic structure of  $Y$ , in particular how  $Y(\mathbf{R})$  sits within  $Y(\mathbf{C})$ .

**The cohomology class  $w_1(Y)$ .** The Gorenstein assumption is the key to defining  $w_1(Y) = w_1(K_Y) \in H^1(Y(\mathbf{R}), \mathbf{Z}/2)$  where  $K_Y$  is the line bundle provided by the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 10.** *If an  $n$ -dimensional real projective variety  $Y$  is normal and Gorenstein then any small resolution  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is crepant. That is, the canonical bundle over the smooth locus of  $Y$  extends to a line bundle  $K_Y$  over  $Y$  and  $f^* K_Y \cong K_X$  for any small resolution  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $Y$  is Gorenstein, its dualizing sheaf  $\omega_Y$  is a line bundle. Since  $Y$  is normal and projective,  $\omega_Y$  is isomorphic to the canonical sheaf  $O_Y(K_Y) = O_Y(i_* K_{Y_{sm}})$  where  $i : Y_{sm} \hookrightarrow Y$  is the inclusion of the smooth locus (see [KM98, Proposition 5.75]). Thus the canonical sheaf  $O_Y(K_Y)$  is in fact a line bundle; denote it by  $K_Y$ . It restricts to  $K_{Y_{sm}}$ .

Now let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a small resolution and let:

$$Y_r = \{y \in Y : \dim f^{-1}(y) \geq r\}.$$

Since  $f$  is small,  $\text{codim}(Y_r) > 2r$  for all  $r > 0$ . In particular  $f$  has 0-dimensional fibers away from the subspace  $Y_1$ . Since  $Y$  is normal, Zariski's Main Theorem says that the fibers of  $f$  are connected, so  $f$  is in fact an isomorphism away from  $Y_1$ . That is, there is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X - f^{-1}(Y_1) & \hookrightarrow & X \\
\cong \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
Y - Y_1 & \hookrightarrow & Y
\end{array}$$

All that remains is to show that  $f^* K_Y \cong K_X$ . Since  $Y - Y_1 \subset Y_{sm}$ , the above diagram implies that  $f^* K_Y$  and  $K_X$  both restrict to the canonical bundle over  $X - f^{-1}(Y_1)$ . Since  $X$  is smooth,  $X$  is normal. It is a standard result that two line bundles on a normal variety over a field are isomorphic if they are isomorphic away from a subset of codimension  $\geq 2$ . Thus to show that  $f^* K_Y \cong K_X$  it suffices to show that  $\text{codim } f^{-1}(Y_1) \geq 2$ . Write:

$$f^{-1}(Y_1) = f^{-1}(Y_1 - Y_2) \cup f^{-1}(Y_2 - Y_3) \cup \cdots \cup f^{-1}(Y_R - Y_{R+1})$$

Since  $\dim(X) = \dim(Y)$  and  $f$  is small:

$$\operatorname{codim} f^{-1}(Y_r - Y_{r+1}) \geq \operatorname{codim}(Y_r - Y_{r+1}) - r > 2r - r = r$$

for any  $r > 0$ . Thus  $\operatorname{codim} f^{-1}(Y_1) = \min_{r>0} \{\operatorname{codim} f^{-1}(Y_r - Y_{r+1})\} \geq 2$  as desired.  $\square$

**The homology classes  $w_{n-k}(Y)$ .** To define the homology classes  $w_{n-k}(Y)$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ , we will define an Euler function  $\alpha_Y$  and set:

$$w_{n-k}(Y) := w_{n-k}(\alpha_Y) \in H_k(Y(\mathbf{R}), \mathbf{Z}/2)$$

where  $w_* : F_{\mathbf{Z}/2} \rightarrow H_*(-, \mathbf{Z}/2)$  is the natural transformation described in Section 1.

Namely let  $\alpha_Y$  be the  $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -valued function which assigns to each point of  $Y(\mathbf{R})$  its local mod 2 intersection homology Euler characteristic *within the complexification*  $Y(\mathbf{C})$ . More formally let:

$$\alpha_Y = \chi(\mathbf{IC}_{Y(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z}/2}^\bullet) \circ i_Y$$

where  $\mathbf{IC}_{Y(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z}/2}^\bullet$  denotes the, say upper-middle, mod 2 intersection chain sheaf on  $Y(\mathbf{C})$  (see [GM83]), and  $\chi$  denotes the function which assigns to a sheaf  $\mathbf{A}^\bullet$  the  $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -valued function:

$$p \mapsto \sum_i \operatorname{rank} \mathbf{H}^i(\mathbf{A}^\bullet)_p \pmod{2}$$

To see that this  $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -valued function  $\alpha_Y$  is constructible and satisfies the local Euler condition, consider the complex algebraic variety  $Y(\mathbf{C})$ . It has a complex algebraic stratification (see for instance [GM88, p. 43]) and the real points of its strata form real algebraic subvarieties  $\{W_i\}$  of  $Y(\mathbf{R})$  (these may not form a stratification of  $Y(\mathbf{R})$  but that is irrelevant). Since the intersection chain sheaf  $\mathbf{IC}_{Y(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z}/2}^\bullet$  is constructible with respect to the stratification of  $Y(\mathbf{C})$ , the function  $\alpha_Y$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -linear combination of the characteristic functions  $\{1_{W_i}\}$ . As explained in Section 1, the fact that real analytic spaces are mod 2 Euler spaces implies that these characteristic functions, and hence  $\alpha_Y$  satisfy the local Euler condition, as desired.

**PROPOSITION 11.** *If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a small resolution then:*

$$f_{\mathbf{R}*}(w_*(X(\mathbf{R})) \cap [X(\mathbf{R})]) = w_*(\alpha_Y) \in H_*(Y(\mathbf{R}), \mathbf{Z}/2)$$

**PROOF.** By definition:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_Y &= \chi(\mathbf{H}^\bullet(\mathbf{IC}_{Y(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z}/2}^\bullet)) \circ i_Y = \chi\left(\lim_{U \ni p} \mathbf{H}^\bullet(U, \mathbf{IC}_{Y(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z}/2}^\bullet)\right) \circ i_Y \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \chi\left(\lim_{U \ni p} \mathbf{H}^\bullet(U, Rf_{\mathbf{C}*}(\mathbf{Z}/2)_{X(\mathbf{C})})\right) \circ i_Y \\ &= \chi\left(\lim_{U \ni p} \mathbf{H}^\bullet(f_{\mathbf{C}}^{-1}(U), (\mathbf{Z}/2)_{X(\mathbf{C})})\right) \circ i_Y \\ &= \chi\left(\mathbf{H}^\bullet(f_{\mathbf{C}}^{-1}(p), \mathbf{Z}/2)\right) \circ i_Y \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{=} \chi\left(\mathbf{H}^\bullet(f_{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}(p), \mathbf{Z}/2)\right) \circ i_Y \\ &= f_{\mathbf{R}*}(1_X) \end{aligned}$$

Equality (1) holds since Goresky-MacPherson showed that  $Rf_{C*}(\mathbf{Z}/2)_{X(C)} \cong \mathbf{IC}_{Y(C), \mathbf{Z}/2}^\bullet$  (see [GM83, p. 121]). Equality (2) holds since the Borel-Moore homology long exact sequence (see [Ful98, p. 371]):

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_j(f_{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}(p)) \rightarrow H_j(f_{\mathbf{C}}^{-1}(p)) \rightarrow H_j(f_{\mathbf{C}}^{-1}(p) - f_{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}(p)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

implies that:

$$\chi(f_{\mathbf{C}}^{-1}(p)) - \chi(f_{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}(p)) = \chi(f_{\mathbf{C}}^{-1}(p) - f_{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}(p))$$

which is even since complex conjugation induces a free involution of  $f_{\mathbf{C}}^{-1}(p) - f_{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}(p)$  (and the Euler characteristic is multiplicative for fiber bundles, in particular for double covers).

The result then follows from the pushforward formula  $f_{\mathbf{R}*}w_* = w_*f_{\mathbf{R}*}$ .  $\square$

The definition of  $\alpha_Y$  might seem unnecessarily complicated. Why not simply use  $1_Y$  instead? The 3-fold node  $Y$  illustrates why not. By the preceding proposition:

$$w_3[X_1] = w_3[X_2] = w_3(\alpha_Y)$$

where  $X_1 \rightarrow Y \leftarrow X_2$  are its small resolutions discussed earlier. These resolutions are isomorphisms away from the singular point  $i: P \rightarrow Y$ , where the fiber  $\mathbf{P}^1$  has mod 2 Euler characteristic  $\chi(\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{R})) = 0 = 2 = \chi(\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C}))$ . Thus:

$$= w_3(1_Y - 1_P) = w_3(1_Y) - w_3(1_P)$$

Since  $w_3(1_P)$  lives in  $H_0(Y(\mathbf{R}), \mathbf{Z}/2)$  and  $w_*(1_P) \cap [P] = 1 \in H_*(P(\mathbf{R}), \mathbf{Z}/2)$ , we can use the pushforward formula to compute:

$$\begin{aligned} &= w_3(1_Y) - w_3(i_*1_P) = w_3(1_Y) - i_*w_0(1_P) \\ &= w_3(1_Y) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

Thus if one used  $1_Y$  instead of  $\alpha_Y$  then the resulting definition of  $w_3[Y]$  would not equal  $w_3[X_i]$  and would thus be incompatible with small resolutions.

### Acknowledgments

Thanks to Burt Totaro for inspiration and many helpful conversations. Thanks to the referee for a careful reading and several helpful comments. Thanks also to the National Science Foundation, the Cambridge Overseas Trusts, the Cambridge Philosophical Society and the Cambridge Lundgren Fund for their generous financial support.

### References

- [Ati58] M. F. Atiyah. On analytic surfaces with double points. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London. Ser. A*, 247:237–244, 1958.
- [Che70] J Cheeger. A combinatorial formula for stiefel-whitney classes. In *Topology of manifolds*, volume 1969 of *Proceedings of the University of Georgia Topology of Manifolds Institute*, pages xiv+514. Markham Publishing Co., Chicago, Ill., 1970.
- [FM81] William Fulton and Robert MacPherson. Categorical framework for the study of singular spaces. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 31(243):vi+165, 1981.
- [FM97] Joseph H. G. Fu and Clint McCrory. Stiefel-Whitney classes and the conormal cycle of a singular variety. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 349(2):809–835, 1997.

- [Ful98] William Fulton. *Intersection theory*, volume 2 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998.
- [GM83] Mark Goresky and Robert MacPherson. Intersection homology. II. *Invent. Math.*, 72(1):77–129, 1983.
- [GM88] Mark Goresky and Robert MacPherson. *Stratified Morse theory*, volume 14 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [Gor84] R. Mark Goresky. Intersection homology operations. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 59(3):485–505, 1984.
- [GP89] Mark Goresky and William Pardon. Wu numbers of singular spaces. *Topology*, 28(3):325–367, 1989.
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [KM98] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, volume 134 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original.
- [Mac74] R. D. MacPherson. Chern classes for singular algebraic varieties. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 100:423–432, 1974.
- [MP03] Clint McCrory and Adam Parusiński. Virtual Betti numbers of real algebraic varieties. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 336(9):763–768, 2003.
- [MS74] John W. Milnor and James D. Stasheff. *Characteristic classes*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1974. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 76.
- [Sti35] E. Stiefel. Richtungsfelder und Fernparallelismus in  $n$ -dimensionalen Mannigfaltigkeiten. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 8(1):305–353, 1935.
- [Sul71] D. Sullivan. Combinatorial invariants of analytic spaces. In *Proceedings of Liverpool Singularities—Symposium, I (1969/70)*, pages 165–168, Berlin, 1971. Springer.
- [Tho54] René Thom. Quelques propriétés globales des variétés différentiables. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 28:17–86, 1954.
- [Tot00] Burt Totaro. Chern numbers for singular varieties and elliptic homology. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 151(2):757–791, 2000.
- [Tot02] B. Totaro. Topology of singular algebraic varieties. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Beijing, 2002)*, pages 533–541, Beijing, 2002. Higher Ed. Press.
- [vH03] Joost van Hamel. Towards an intersection homology theory for real algebraic varieties. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (25):1395–1411, 2003.
- [Whi40] Hassler Whitney. On the theory of sphere-bundles. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 26:148–153, 1940.

*E-mail address:* c.mctague@dpms.cam.ac.uk

DPMMS, WILBERFORCE ROAD, CAMBRIDGE CB3 0WB, ENGLAND